

Record of Officer's Decision

The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Date of Decision:	27 November 2023
Decision Maker (Officer):	Richard Barrett (Assistant Director Finance & IT)
Authority for Delegated Decision (Cabinet/Committee Decision or Scheme of Delegation – provide reference):	Part 3, Schedule 3 – Responsibility for Executive Functions delegated to Officers paragraph 4.3 (1) – the Corporate Director has delegated authority to discharge executive functions within their respective service areas (Part 3.38). All delegations are subject to consultation where considered appropriate in the circumstances (paragraph 4.3 (4(ii) – Part 3.39). The Corporate Director (AD Finance & IT) has
	delegated operational matters relating to the purchase of a corporate cash management system – Procurement Rules Para 4.3.8 Part 5.79 of the Council's Constitution.
Identify which Portfolio Holder(s)/Committee Chairman consulted?	PFH Finance & Governance
Ward Member(s) consulted?	n/a
Is it a Key Decision?	No (Financial / key element of the decision was included within the Q1 Financial Performance agreed by Cabinet on 6 October 2023)
Is it subject to call-in?	No
Decision Made:	To purchase from Capita a 5 year cloud based income management system via a direct award under the KCS Procurement Services Y200023 Managed Services Framework at a total 5 year cost of £208,140 (plus a year 1 training cost of £3,285).

Reason for Decision (if a	The Council's current income management system
report was produced to	(AIM) enables the Council to effectively manage,
support the Decision, refer to	process and record its various income streams and
or attach it):	links to all the other financial systems operated
	internally. The system has been in use since at least
	2009 and the Council has a one-year rolling contract for
	the system at present, which is currently hosted within
	the Council's own cloud within the Azure Cloud
	Platform. The service provider has informed the
	Council that it will cease support of the AIM system in
	its current set up at the end of June 2024 and will only
	continue to support the system if it is moved to their own
	Cloud based Platform (sCloud).
	Additionally, the current system interacts with a range
	of other financial systems operated within the Council
	making it challenging to identify an alternative supplier
	and implement a significant range of actions before the
	deadline mentioned above of June 2024.
	Given its critical nature, the system cannot be
	reasonably operated without support from the system
	supplier. Therefore a procurement decision is required.
	In terms of context to the required procurement
	decision, it is worth highlighting the following points:
	• As highlighted above, the Council has been
	utilising the AIM system for well over 10 years
	and consequently, the services and users have
	considerable expertise and the Council has
	established detailed reporting and support
	arrangements to keep the services operational
	and working as efficiently as possible.
	• Due to the above, changing solutions would be
	extremely complex to plan and deliver and likely
	to be extremely costly in terms of re-training
	users and support staff.
	Given the above, it is not proposed to move away from
	the existing supplier, but rather than an annual rolling
	contract it is proposed to procure the 'replacement'
	sCloud product for 5 years. Beyond this period, a
	review will be undertaken nearer the time to inform the
	separate procurement decision that will be required.
	This initial 5-year approach supports the value for
	money / governance arrangements required as part of the associated procurement process.
	the associated producement process.

	 In agreeing the new longer-term approach, this has been finalised via KCS Procurement Services Y20023 Managed Services Framework. This framework enables a direct award provided the customer can meet any one of the following objective conditions: 1) Customer is satisfied that, following their own due diligence, they can identify the supplier that offers best value for their requirement
	 2) The supplier is able to supply the required goods/services within the customer's timescales 3) Goods/services required are unique/exclusive to one manufacturer/supplier 4) Continuity of existing goods/services from an awarded supplier.
	In the context of the information highlighted earlier, the Council can reasonably demonstrate that it meets points 1), 2) and 4) above so a direct award is possible.
	The relevant activities associated with the KCS Framework highlighted above have been confirmed / reviewed / undertaken and it is now possible to conclude the finalisation of the agreement / purchase order subject to the publication of this decision.
	The outcome the decision will deliver is a stable environment for the income management system for a period of 5 years, under a new contract.
Highlight any associated risks/finance/legal/equality	The current annual on-going budget to support the AIM product is £25,900. (totalling £129,500 over 5 years)
considerations:	Under the framework agreement, Capita have quoted the following:
	 Annual hosting charge - £21,000 Annual support charge - £20,628 Annual Total - £41,628 Total over 5 Years - £208,140
	The above can be reduced by an annual saving on the costs of the Council's use of the Microsoft Azure Cloud services - £6,927 per annum (£34,635 over 5 years)
	There will be some initial training / set up costs which total £3,285.
	Taking all of the above into account, the total net 5 year cost is £176,790.

The above represents an increase of £47,290 over the existing budget of £129,500 as highlighted earlier.This additional cost was addressed / approved in the Q1 Financial Performance Report presented to Cabinet on 6 October 2023.Details of any Alternative considered and rejected (together with reasons):The option to identify an alternative supplier could be explored either directly or via framework agreements. Finding, purchasing, implementing and testing a new financial systems, by 30 June 2024 would not be practical and would introduce a significant level of risk. Continuing to use the current system (AIM) without any support, would introduce a significant level of risk. Continuing to use the current system (AIM) without any support, would introduce an unacceptable level of risk into the Council's income management processes at the present time. In the context of the above (including the financial considerations), the proposed approach set out above would provide value for money to the Council.Details of any declarations of interest (by Portfolio Holder/Committee Chairman who was consulted by the officer; which related to the dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer:XReason bubished: Tick one or more of the specific exemptions, amdXNot applicable – Decision to be published ff Report is not to be published – tick one of the supporting metaporting the Decision contains confidential information The Report supporting the Decision falls within an exemption pursuant to Scheduel 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 Information: Relates to an individualLikely to reveal the identity of an individual		
Options rejected (together reasons):Considered (together with intancial systems, by 30 June 2024 would not be practical and would introduce a significant level of risk. Continuing to use the current system (AIM) without any support, would introduce an unacceptable level of risk into the Council's income management processes at the present time.Details of any declarations of interest (by Portfolio Holder/Committee Chairman who was consulted by the officer, which related to the decision)N/AIf relevant, a note of the support, is not published:XNot applicable – Decision to be published If Report is not to be published – tick one of the sporting not to be published – tick one of the sporting not to be published – tick one of the sporting not to be published – tick one of the sporting not to be published – tick one of the sporting not to be published – tick one of the sporting not to be published – tick one of the sporting not to be published – tick one of the sporting not to be published – tick one of the sporting the Decision falls within an exemptions, andandA		 existing budget of £129,500 as highlighted earlier. This additional cost was addressed / approved in the Q1 Financial Performance Report presented to Cabinet on 6 October 2023. The above costs exclude inflation, which will be considered as part of the Council's wider annual budget
interest(by Portfolio Holder/Committee Chairman who was consulted by the officer, which related to the decision)If relevant, a note of the dispensation granted by the Monitoring Officer:XReason supporting Report, is not published:XNot applicable – Decision to be published If Report is not to be published – tick one of the following boxes:Tick one or more of the specific exemptions,XNot applicable – Decision to be published If Report is not to be published – tick one of the following boxes:Tick one or more of the specific exemptions,The report supporting the Decision contains confidential informationand•	Options Considered and rejected (together with	 explored either directly or via framework agreements. Finding, purchasing, implementing and testing a new income management system, with links to all other financial systems, by 30 June 2024 would not be practical and would introduce a significant level of risk. Continuing to use the current system (AIM) without any support, would introduce an unacceptable level of risk into the Council's income management processes at the present time. In the context of the above (including the financial considerations), the proposed approach set out above
supporting Report, is not published:If Report is not to be published – tick one of the following boxes:Tick one or more of the specific exemptions,The report supporting the Decision contains confidential informationandThe Report supporting the Decision falls within an exemption pursuant to Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 Information:• Relates to an individual	interest (by Portfolio Holder/Committee Chairman who was consulted by the officer, which related to the decision) If relevant, a note of the dispensation granted by the	N/A
Relates to an individual	supporting published:Report,isnotTick one or more of the specific exemptions,	If Report is not to be published – tick one of the following boxes:The report supporting the Decision contains confidential informationThe Report supporting the Decision falls within an exemption pursuant to Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 Information:

Give more information in the final box with regards to why the exemption applies and outweighs the public interest test (which is in favour of disclosure).	 Relating to financial or business affairs of a person or organisation
	Relates to a claim for legal professional privilege in legal proceedings
	Reveals that the Council proposes to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or to make an order or direction under any enactment
	Relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime
	<u>And</u> is exempt if and so long, as in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information
	Reasons: N/A
<u>Officers</u>	1

Signed:

Title: Assistant Director Finance & IT

In consultation with:

Signed:

Portfolio Holder For Finance & Governance

Dated: 27 November 2023